

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/680,459	10/06/2003	Chris Rundfeldt	NY-HUBR 1230 -US	4494
24972 7.	590 11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE	
666 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/680,459	RUNDFELDT ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Renee Claytor	1617	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Oc</u>	ctoher 2003		
2a)□	·	action is non-final.		<i>:</i>
3)	Since this application is in condition for allower		rescution as to the morite in	
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposit	ion of Claims		.0.0.210.	
· -	Claim(s) 12-21 is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withom consideration.		
	· ·			
_	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•	
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
0)[Claim(s) 12-21 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. 8 119(a)	I-(d) or (f)	
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority direct 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)	-(a) or (i).	
/.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
	application from the International Bureau	The state of the s	iu in ulis Nauonai Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.	
		or the certified copies not receive	u.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	
Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	arent Application	
		· 		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 12-19 are drawn to a method for treating epilepsy in a dog comprising administration of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)-2,5dihydro-1H imidazol-1-2-one, classified in class 514, subclass 229.8 and 393.
- II. Claims 20-21 are drawn to a method for treating behavioral abnormality in a dog comprising administration of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H imidazol-1-2-one, classified in class 514, subclass 229.8 and 393.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to the treatment of epilepsy by administration of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H imidazol-1-2-one (Group I) and the treatment of behavioral abnormalities, namely anxiety, by administration of 1-(4-chlorophenyl)-4-(4-morpholinyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H imidazol-1-2-one. The inventions are distinct in that epilepsy is characterized by involuntary changes in body movement or function, sensation, awareness, or behavior while anxiety is characterized by a complex

Art Unit: 1617

combination of emotions ahtat includes fear, apprehension and worry, and is often accompanied by phycial sensations such as palpitations, nausea, chest pain and/or shortness of breath. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, the searches in non-patent literature databases would be extensive and will not overlap thus presenting a search burden to be searched together. Thus, Inventions I and II have been appropriately restricted on the basis of being both independent or distinct and presenting a search burden on the Examiner if they were to be searched together.

Conclusion to Restriction Requirement

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1617

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call was made to Norman Hanson on 11/1/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,459

Art Unit: 1617

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Renee Claytor

SREENI PADMANABHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER